

UNITED STANDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Paper No. 9

John P. Sutton Bryan, Hinshaw, Cohen & Barnet 425 California Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94104

In re Application of James Harry Alleman Application No. 08/798,115 Filed: February 12, 1997 For: INTERACTIVE TELEPHONE

SYSTEM FOR OPTIMIZING SERVICE ECONOMY

DECISION ON PENTION TO

MAKE SPECIAL

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d), filed March 13, 1997, and supplemented on July 18, 1997, via facsimile, to make the above-identified application special¹.

The petition requests that the above-identified application be made special under the accelerated examination procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section II: Infringement.

A grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d), M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section II, must be accompanied by the required fee pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(I)(2) and allege facts under oath or declaration to show, or indicate why it is not possible to show, that:

1. there is an infringing device or product actually on the market or method in use,

^{1.} It is noted that the petition was filed without identifying the application by its serial number, its filing date, or attorney's docket number. Consequently, the petition was associated with the parent application, serial No. 08/252,984, which is currently on appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences. The petition is now made of record in the instant application.

- 2. when the alleged infringing device, product or method was first discovered; supplemented by an affidavit or declaration of the applicant's attorney to show:
- 3. that a rigid comparison on the alleged infringing device, product or method with the claims of the application was made,
- 4. that the claims are unquestionably infringed,
- 5. that a careful search of the prior art was made or that applicant has good knowledge of the pertinent prior art, and
- 6. that applicant believes all of the claims in the application are allowable.

The petition meets the above-stated requirements for special status.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for expedited prosecution.

If the examiner can make this application special without prejudice to any possible interfering applications, and she should make a rigid search for such, she is authorized to do so for the next action. Should the application be rejected, the application will not be considered special for the subsequent action unless the applicant promptly makes a bona fide effort to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to have an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

If the examiner finds any interfering application for the same subject matter, she should consider such application simultaneously with this application and should state in the official letter of such application that she is taking it out of its turn because of possible interference.

Should an appeal be taken in this application or should this application becomes involved in an interference, consideration of the appeal and the interference will be expedited by all Patent and Trademark Office officials concerned, contingent likewise upon diligent prosecution by the applicant.

After allowance, this application will be given priority for printing. See M.P.E.P. § 1309.

The petition is granted to the extent indicated.

Hien H. Phan

Special Program Examiner

Group 2600 - Telecommunications

MAILED

JUL 2 1 1997

GROUP 2600